

SIMOSENKOSI WISEMAN CHAMANE

Identity Number: 7609085421080

<http://cdslas.bravepages.com/id1.htm>

CHIEF DIRECTORATE: STATE LAW ADVISORY SERVICES

WHO are we?

The **Chief Directorate: State Law Advisory Services** (abbreviated **CD: SLAS**), situated on the **3rd Floor** at **Moses Mabhida Building, 300 Langaibalele Street, PIETERMARITZBURG, 3201**, is the *transversal* and *internal legal services component* in the **Office of the Premier** within the **Branch: Institutional Development and Integrity Management** under the leadership of **Adv SW (Simo) Chamane** (Senior General Manager).

BRANCH: INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT

Deputy Director-General: Adv Simo Chamane



All other members have their CV's available EXCEPT for Chamane who has not allowed the public access to his CV

<http://www.mhlathuze.co.za/index.php/advocate-simo-chamane>

Advocate Simo Chamane

Member of the HR/Remuneration Committee

Member of the Finance Committee

Adv Chamane is a legal expert and holds senior positions in the public and freight sector. His is a member and Associate of the Society of Advocates and the KZN Durban Bar.

<https://www.arrivealive.co.za/news.aspx?s=2&i=16749&page=RTI-Officers-to-be-rewarded-for-service-excellence>

RTI Officers to be rewarded for service excellence

20 March 2015 | *Department of Transport*

The KwaZulu-Natal Department of Transport will hold the first annual Road Traffic Inspectorate Awards at the end of this month, which have been started with the objective of promoting and acknowledging high standards of service delivery by traffic officers, stations and regions in road traffic management.

Plans are afoot for the awards ceremony set to take place on 31 March 2015 at the Durban Exhibition Centre. According to **Public Transport General Manager Advocate Simo Chamane**, the initiative is the brainchild of Transport, Community Safety and Liaison MEC Willies Mchunu who has always advocated for the regeneration of high morals, standards and values in the traffic safety management fraternity.

<https://pmg.org.za/committee-meeting/27387/>

The lengthy PMG SCOPA feedback should be considered.

<https://www.noseweek.co.za/article/4121/Bheki-Cele-heads-investigation--of-himself>

Bheki Cele heads investigation - of himself

Noseweek Issue #226, 1st August 2018 By Jonathan Erasmus

Police minister uses state-funded legal advisor in fight with own ministry.

Minister of Police Bheki Cele has sacked his private attorney and instead is using his state-funded legal advisor to help him fight his own ministry in a private civil matter in which – given his new position – he is also a respondent.

It is no doubt a **conundrum for his new legal advisor Advocate Simo Chamane**; more so because **he denies providing advice to Cele-the-applicant despite court documents stating the contrary**. Chamane should only be giving Cele-the-minister advice, as his mandate is to protect the ministry, not fight it. **Chamane is faced with a clear conflict of interest – although he doesn't see it.**

Cele, who previously served as national commissioner of SAPS, was appointed Minister of Police in February this year by President Cyril Ramaphosa. Although he has been lauded for his stance towards crime, in 2012 he was certified by the Presidency-commissioned **Moloi Commission of Inquiry as "dishonest" and "conflicted" and "not fit to hold office and... unable to execute his official duties efficiently".**



Bheki Cele

The late Judge Jake Moloi's findings resulted in Cele's being fired by former president Jacob Zuma in June 2012. This came after **two damning Public Protector reports against Cele titled "Against the Rules" and "Against the Rules Too" which concerned two lease agreements in Pretoria and Durban worth more than R1,7 billion** that SAPS wanted to sign with businessman Roux Shabangu between March and July 2010.

The deal, said Moloi and the Protector, had signs of interference by Cele who had purposely guided the deals in Shabangu's favour. Moloi called for an immediate investigation by "competent authorities," saying the evidence "proved abundantly that there was a questionable relationship between the national commissioner and Shabangu on one hand and between Shabangu and Public Works officials who facilitated the lease agreements on the other" as well as between the national commissioner and some members of the SAPS.

That “competent authority” is the SAPS. A criminal investigation was opened by the DA shortly after the findings were made public but the charges have only gathered dust in the past six years. With Cele now back at the helm the investigation is unlikely to progress.

Cele’s move to use his legal advisor as his personal lawyer is directly related to the review application Cele launched in August 2012 in the North Gauteng High Court. His founding papers said he wanted all the Moloi Inquiry’s “findings, conclusions and recommendations... reviewed and set aside,” along with the former president’s reasons for sacking him.

The record stands at 546 pages including a replying affidavit from the Presidency defending the Moloi findings. Cele cited as respondents Moloi and his assistants Terry Motau SC and Anthea Platt SC, the National Police Commissioner, the Minister of Police and the President of South Africa.

But since then his fortunes have changed. Six years on and with no movement on his review application entirely by his own making, he is both the applicant and the fifth respondent (Minster of Police). He has authority over the fourth respondent (National Police Commissioner) and he was appointed to his position in early 2018 by the sixth respondent – the Presidency.

However a state advocate told *Noseweek* that until the Moloi findings are set aside, they stand.

Cele, his sacked law firm Strauss Daly, and Cele’s various spokespeople have refused to answer any questions put to them by *Noseweek* over a period of weeks as to the reason for the delay in the review application, whether they accept the Moloi findings and whether Cele is now abusing his office.

Ministry spokesperson Reneilwe Serero eventually sent *Noseweek* the following response from Cele: “Advocate Chamane is my legal advisor in my official capacity as the Minister of Police, and he is not involved in this matter, save to say the notice of withdrawal was sent by Strauss Daly and any questions relating to that I suggest that you contact them.”

“Regarding the status of my review application, the matter is still pending before the Court and I will respect those processes till they are concluded.”

Up until 6 July 2018 Cele was represented by Andile Khoza Strauss Daly in Umhlanga, Durban. The review application was launched by Cele in his private capacity.

In mid-July after several unsuccessful attempts by Noseweek to get comment from Khoza, Dinesha Deeplal a director at the law firm, replied unexpectedly: "Herewith our Notice of Withdrawal as Attorney of Record, which we are in the process of serving and filing. In the circumstances you are to liaise directly [*with Cele*] and not through our offices."

The notice of withdrawal said: "Kindly take further notice that, the Applicant, Bheki Hamilton Cele, appoints Advocate S Chamane, as his contact person." Chamane was copied into Deeplal's email. It said Cele would "accept service of all documents" at the address of Simo Chamane, his "special advisor" in the "police ministry".

But Chamane tried to feign ignorance when contacted by *Noseweek*, stating he had no idea why Strauss Daly had elected him to receive correspondence for Cele.

"I only deal with the state matters and official matters. I don't deal with personal matters with the minister," said Chamane.

Not wanting to commit on whether he would be conflicted in providing advice to Cele-the-applicant he said he was not the "personal attorney for the minister". "I don't know why Strauss Daly wrote my name in the notice of withdraw," said Chamane.

Asked if Cele-the-minister had ever mentioned the review application to him and asked for advice on how to defend it as a respondent, he said: "The discussions between me and the minister are private and I can't disclose them to you. It would be unethical and unprofessional of me to do so."

Andile Khoza would later confirm that the appointment of Chamane to receive service for Cele was "proper" and no doubt on the authority of Cele.

According to the Department of Water and Sanitation, Chamane, who sits on Mhlathuze Water Board's finance and remuneration committees, "is a legal expert and holds senior positions in the public and freight sector". He also worked for the KZN Department of Community Safety when Cele was MEC of the department, as well as having been President of University of Zululand Convocation. He has spent a large part of his career in the public service.

Alison Lee, the CEO of the Corporate Counsel Association of SA, a non-statutory body that has developed guidelines and rules for legal counsel in both the private and public sectors, says a legal advisor can provide private legal advice to their employer providing it is not in conflict with their contract and if there is no clear conflict of interest.

"Legal advisors can provide support and assistance providing there is no conflict," said Lee, citing former president Zuma's use of legal advisors paid by the state for his personal matters. However, as in the case of Zuma's current legal woes, if the executive using the legal counsel loses a personal case, they could be liable for the costs, she said. From her understanding of Cele's matter, as discussed with Noseweek, there could be grounds for a conflict-of-interest, as Chamane was contracted to the Minister of Police, a respondent in the matter, and not Cele-the-applicant.

The Moloi findings are technically the property of the Presidency. Questions sent to Ramaphosa's office also went unanswered. These included whether any investigation, as called for by Moloi, took place; and whether Ramaphosa considered the report before appointing Cele to the ministry.

The DA's shadow minister of police Dianne Kohler-Barnard told *Noseweek* she has religiously asked for an update of the criminal charges laid against Cele on the strength of the Moloi findings.

"The police haven't even said they will not investigate. Now no policeman is going to risk his career and investigate the minister. Cele has enjoyed political protection on this matter. My questions to the ministry are simply ignored. All institutions meant to investigate Cele fall under Cele. The defunct Scorpions would have looked into it but that is why they no longer exist," she said.

In Cele's founding affidavit he accused the board of ignoring his successes in his "primary functions and duties of combat, prevent and prosecute crime". He called the board "biased" and said Moloi was "intent on finding me wrong and guilty at all costs". He denied knowing Shabangu prior to the lease agreement or interfering in the agreements which in the end were not finalised.



He said he was not an "evasive and vague witness".

He said Moloi and his advocate Motau had received his submissions with "open disdain" while accepting submissions from the evidence leaders with "laughing and glee". He said his witnesses were unfairly "criticised as being dishonest". But his witnesses did lack moral authority. They were his since-demoted CEO Lt General Bonang Mgwenya who was hand-picked by Cele without advertising the post, contrary to policy; the now suspended KZN provincial commissioner Lt Gen Mmamonye Ngobeni and legal advisor Lt Gen Julius Molefe whose appointment was also clouded in controversy.

Ngobeni is embroiled in her own lease-for-pals scandal about police accommodation during the 2010 World Cup, involving Durban businessman Thoshan Panday who reportedly paid nearly R20,000 for Ngobeni's husband Brig Lucas Ngobeni's birthday party in 2010 while Panday was being investigated by the provincial Hawks unit.

Cele said in his review application that he wanted the findings of dishonesty, conflict-of-interest and contraventions of various pieces of legislation reviewed as well as the president's decision overturned.

In Zuma's answering affidavit filed in September 2012 by legal advisor Bonisiwe Makhene on behalf of Zuma, it said Cele had failed to "demonstrate that the President's decision was irrational or arbitrary" nor could he provide evidence to "substantiate his bald assertion that the President's decision was actuated by ulterior motives".

Zuma dismissed Cele's claim that he didn't know Shabangu prior to the lease agreement debacle: "Even if this court were itself to assess the evidence anew, I submit that it would, as the board did, find that on the probabilities, the applicant knew Shabangu before 5 July 2010".

Zuma said the board had found "five" instances where Cele had been dishonest, among them his not disclosing his relationship with Shabangu. The affidavit said that in deciding whether to sack Cele, the president had to consider the severity of the misconduct and Cele's role as police commissioner.

"For this reason the President took the view that a punitive sanction short of removal would not be appropriate."

However it is clear there was never any desire to pursue Cele, neither by the Zuma or Ramaphosa administrations and **that South Africa's security and justice apparatus is still a political tool.**

When Zuma fired him as the top cop, he gave Cele a glowing send-off and said: "I would in particular, like to extend my personal gratitude to General Cele for his unquestionable commitment to his work as National Commissioner. Leading from the front, he brought much needed passion, energy, expertise and focus that boosted the morale of the police."

In 2014 Zuma appointed Cele deputy minister of Agriculture and Fisheries.

In 2017, Cele changed political camp – backing Ramaphosa and attacking Zuma in the run-up to the ANC's December 2017 national elective conference. He was promptly

rewarded with the ministerial post where he can manipulate the investigation into himself. The wolf is in charge of the hen house.

The "New Dawn" promised by Ramaphosa is, it appears, simply the continuation of the old kleptocracy of the ANC where political allegiance trumps principal and rule of law.

<https://zululandobserver.co.za/144567/mhlathuze-water-board-bows/>

Mhlathuze Water Board bows out

Utility bids directors farewell following high court ruling

May 31, 2017



Mhlathuze Water's outgoing board of directors - (back) Poppy Dlamini, Nica Gevers, Brian Rawlins, Amita Badul, Musa Xulu, Frik Bosman, Bonginkosi Mshengu; (front) **Simo Chamane, Dudu Myeni** and Interim Chief Executive Officer Mthokozisi Duze

MHLATHUZE Water last week officially took leave of its board members, including Chairperson **Dudu Myeni**, after the Pietermaritzburg High Court in December ruled that the board had to be replaced.

In the case of Makhanya vs the Minister of Water and Sanitation and the Mhlathuze Water

Board, Judge Yvonne Mbatha determined the extension on Myeni's term as chairperson was unlawful and that Minister Nomvula Mokonyane did not possess the necessary legislative powers to extend her stay in office beyond the maximum prescribed period as per the National Water Act.

The board was taken to court by Mhlathuze's suspended CEO Sibusiso Makhanya, who accused Myeni of 'capturing' the water authority and Mokonyane of extending the board's service by six months in February, 2015 after its term had expired, and again in July the same year for an indefinite period.

Mokonyane initially attempted to appeal Judge Mbatha's ruling, but withdrew earlier this month.

Speaking at the farewell event on Tuesday at the Umfolozi Casino Resort in Empangeni, Mhlathuze Interim Chief Executive Mthokozisi Duze thanked the board for their guidance. 'I applaud you for effortlessly carrying out the mandate set before you by the Minister (of Water and Sanitation) to drive the organisation's strategic objectives, monitoring operational and financial performances and ensuring capital expenditure was never compromised.

'Though we bid farewell to you, I can assure you that as employees of Mhlathuze Water we will continue to drive the vision you set before us so that the organisation can truly be the regional water and waste water service provider of choice for all stakeholders in the water value chain.'

Duze said the process of appointing a new board is currently underway and is being handled by Minister Mokonyane.

CEO Sibusiso Makhanya could be someone to talk to.

<http://www.saflii.org/za/cases/ZAKZPHC/2016/109.pdf>



IN HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
KWAZULU-NATAL DIVISION, PIETERMARITZBURG

CASE NO: 1578/2016P
and 3861/2016P

In the matter between:

ATWELL SIBUSISO MAKHANYA

APPLICANT

and

THE MINISTER OF WATER AFFAIRS AND
SANITATION

FIRST RESPONDENT

DUDUZILE MYENI N.O.

SECOND RESPONDENT

MUSA XULU N.O.

THIRD RESPONDENT

POPPY DLAMINI N.O.

FOURTH RESPONDENT

FREDERICK BOSMAN N.O.

FIFTH RESPONDENT

NONHLANHLA KHUMALO N.O.

SIXTH RESPONDENT

AMIT BADUL N.O.

SEVENTH RESPONDENT

BRIAN RAWLINS N.O.

EIGHTH RESPONDENT

NICA GEVERS N.O.

NINTH RESPONDENT

THEMBINKOSI MADIKANE N.O.

TENTH RESPONDENT

BONGI MSHENGU N.O.

ELEVENTH RESPONDENT

SIMO CHAMANE N.O.

TWELFTH RESPONDENT

MHLATUZE WATER

THIRTEENTH RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT

Date Delivered: 28 November 2016

THE MINISTER /FIRST RESPONDENT BEING NON OTHER THAN NOMVULA MOKONYANE

[14] It is common cause that the applicant on 3 September 2014 was appointed as Chief Executive Officer of the thirteenth respondent by the board. He alleges that on 26 July 2015 he learnt that there were serious allegations of impropriety made against him by certain unknown individuals. Subsequently the second respondent informed him about allegations made against him, which necessitated a formal investigation. Messrs Edward Nathan, Sonnenbergs Africa (ENS) were appointed by the board to investigate the said allegations of misconduct. Thereafter he was called upon to provide answers to the allegations made against him, to which he responded to by 31 August 2015.

[15] According to the applicant on 7 November 2015, without his knowledge, the board resolved to suspend him with immediate effect. He was not available during the period of 10 November to 17 November 2015 due to illness, but resumed duties on 18 November 2015. On that very same day of his return to work the second respondent gave him a letter notifying him of the board's intention to suspend him. At the time of delivering the letter to him the second respondent informed him that the board took a decision on 7 November 2015 to suspend him. He refers to this as a facade, as he states that a decision had already been taken by the board to suspend him, more so as an acting Chief Executive Officer had been appointed in his absence until 26 November 2015. The notification stated that the acting Chief Executive Officer was appointed due to his illness, and he does not believe this.

[16] He responded to the ENS allegations within a period of three days. His response was emailed to the second respondent on 20 November 2015 at about 14h00. They met the very same day at about 18h00 in Richards Bay whereupon the second respondent informed him about a letter of suspension that would be delivered to him over the weekend. On 21 November 2015 the letter of suspension was emailed to him. His view is that the board did not consider his responses, but he was unilaterally suspended by the second respondent acting alone without the input of the board.

[22] The second respondent's response is that in April 2016 Edward Nathan, Sonnenbergs Africa (ENS) presented a memorandum with serious allegations against the applicant. This was a complete report to their initial investigative report to the Chairperson of the Audit and Risk Committee of the thirteenth respondent. In the light thereof the board resolved to take disciplinarily proceedings against the applicant and mandated Edward Nathan, Sonnenbergs Africa (ENS) to conduct the disciplinarily proceedings on behalf of the thirteenth respondent. The applicant was called upon to show cause why he should not be suspended pending the finalisation of such disciplinary proceedings. The applicant was furnished with a copy of a notice of intention to suspend him as well as draft charges arising from the Edward Nathan, Sonnenbergs Africa (ENS) report. These were served upon him on 14 April 2016.

[75] The respondents advocated the approach followed in *McBride v Minister of Police and Another* as the one I should follow in this matter in support of a just and equitable remedy is sought by the respondents. The respondents have requested that I grant such an order, should I find in favour of the applicant with regard to the Minister's powers. The main submission is that the Minister acted in good faith and that she had put the best interests of the thirteenth respondent first. It is also submitted that the disciplinary proceedings have already commenced and that the process is handled by a reputable and independent consultant, whose actions have not been tarnished in any way. They have filed an investigation report which is before the board, which indicates that prima facie, the applicant has a case to answer. Likewise the applicant has strenuously argued for the setting aside of the disciplinary proceedings instituted against him, as these were as a result of an 16 [2016]

ZACC 30; 2016 (2) SACR 585 (CC) 37 invalid decision taken by an invalid board and that they were perused for ulterior motives.

[76] I am persuaded that the setting aside of all the invalid decisions by the board would bring disruption to the functions of the thirteenth respondent if all their decisions were to be set aside, including the decision to suspend and proceed with a disciplinary hearing against the applicant. This will also give the applicant an opportunity to deal with the allegations against him. This is a different case to the *McBride* case where *Mr McBride* was keen to proceed with the disciplinary hearing and clear his name. The grant of the just and equitable remedy in terms of section 172 (2) (b) of the Constitution does not go down well with the applicant, which is understandable in the circumstances. However, the integrity of the institution is also at stake. In the light that the final investigations and recommendations to proceed with disciplinary proceedings against the applicant were made by an independent body Edward Nathan, Sonnenbergs Africa (ENS) and not by the board, that process should proceed and be carried out by Edward Nathan, Sonnenbergs Africa (ENS)

Conclusion

[77] Accordingly, I make the following order:

1. The decision by the Minister to extend the terms of the office of the board is declared invalid and set aside;
2. The decision by the board to pursue disciplinary proceedings against the applicant and suspend him is declared invalid and set aside;
3. The orders in paragraph 1 and 2 above are suspended from the date of this order for a period of 180 days for the Minister to appoint a new board;
4. The disciplinary proceedings against the applicant are to be conducted by Edward Nathan, Sonnenbergs Africa (ENS) and should be finalised by not later than 31 January 2017. Edward Nathan, Sonnenbergs Africa (ENS) will then make its recommendations to the newly appointed board as stated in paragraph 3 above, as soon as it is constituted;
5. The rule nisi in case number 3861/2016P is discharged; and 6. The applicant is awarded costs in respect of both matters (1578/2016P and 3861/2016P) including the costs consequent on the employment of senior and junior counsel where applicable.

Appearances:

For the Applicant : Adv TG Madonsela SC/ Adv N Mfeka
 Instructed by : STRAUSS DALY ATTORNEYS

9th Floor Daly Place
 41 Richefond Circle
 Ridgeside Office Park
 Umhlanga
 C/O DIEDRICKS ATTORNEYS
 90 Roberts Road
 Claredon
 Pietermaritzburg

For the First Respondent : Adv K Moroka SC / Adv ZZ Matebese
 Instructed by : DLAMINI ATTORNEYS

The Forum Building, 12th Floor
 2 Maude Street
 Sandton
 C/O MH ATTORNEYS & ASSOCIATES
 51 Pietermaritz Street
 Pietermaritzburg

For the Second to Thirteenth Respondents: Adv VI Gajoo SC / Adv LR Naidoo
 EDWARD NATHAN SONNENBERGS
 1 Richefond Circle
 Ridgeside Office Park
 Umhlanga
 C/O VENN NEMETH & HART ATTORNEYS
 281 Pietermaritz Street
 Pietermaritzburg

<https://www.iol.co.za/pretoria-news/push-for-two-thirds-majority-of-mps-needed-to-suspend-ipid-boss-15823758>

Push for two-thirds majority of MPs needed to suspend Ipid boss

PRETORIA NEWS / 4 JULY 2018, 07:31AM / SIYABONGA MKHWANAZI



Robert McBride

THE National Assembly is pushing for a threshold of two-thirds of MPs required to suspend the head of the police watchdog, taking powers away from the police minister.

But Police Minister Bheki Cele yesterday complained that he was not consulted by the Independent Police Investigative Directorate on the bill.

Cele's special adviser Simo Chamane told the portfolio committee on police, during public hearings on the Ipid bill, they were not given Ipid's presentation before it was tabled in Parliament.

"We can put it on record as the ministry, let alone the fact that the minister is the adviser of Ipid, the minister has not had sight of the presentation. It is still the view of the minister that he supports the bill," said Chamane.

Ipid's director for litigation and advisory Pule Maoka said when Cele took office he was not appraised about amendments to the Ipid Act. But he was briefed on other matters.

The bill was brought to Parliament after the Constitutional Court ruled in 2016 that Cele's predecessor Nathi Nhleko had no powers to unilaterally suspend Ipid head Robert McBride.

Parliament is in a race against time to fix the bill by September when the Constitutional Court's deadline expires.

Portfolio committee chairperson Francois Beukman said the minister should have been consulted about amendments to the bill.

He said Ipid should have given a copy of its presentation to Cele before it could be tabled in Parliament for discussion. Beukman said it was standard practice that a minister would be consulted on the legislation affecting his department.

"Any legislation that has implications must be submitted to the minister.

"I want to ensure that all the role players have been briefed on the specific presentation," Beukman added.

All organisations that appeared before the portfolio committee said they endorsed the proposal in the bill for a two-thirds majority in the House to approve the

suspension of the Ipid head. This would limit the scope of any abuse by the political head of the police.

The Council for the Advancement of the South African Constitution (Casac), which was a friend of the court during the legal fight between Nhleko and McBride, said the bill would protect the independence of Ipid. Casac, the Helen Suzman Foundation and other civil society groups backed the proposal for a two-thirds majority of MPs to approve the suspension of the Ipid head.

<https://www.timeslive.co.za/politics/2019-01-22-lift-seven-jaw-dropping-allegations-made-by-angelo-agrizzi-about-nomvula-mokonyane/>

Seven jaw-dropping allegations made by Angelo Agrizzi about Nomvula Mokonyane

22 January 2019 - 11:32BY CEBELIHLE BHENGU



Environmental affairs minister Nomvula Mokonyane was heavily implicated in Angelo Agrizzi's state capture testimony at the Zondo commission. Mokonyane has since written a letter to the commission to object to the fact that she was not notified about the allegations.

Former Bosasa chief operating officer Angelo Agrizzi took the witness stand again on Monday to testify before the state capture commission.

Agrizzi has caused a stir since he began testifying last week, and his testimony on Monday was no different.

Environmental affairs minister Nomvula Mokonyane dominated news headlines as allegations against her piled up. Mokonyane has written a letter to the commission to object to the fact that she was not notified about the allegations.

Mokonyane's spokesperson, Mlimandlela Ndamase, said the allegations were "preposterous", [SowetanLIVE](#) reported.

'Funding' of ANC rally in Gauteng

According to Agrizzi, Bosasa forked out exorbitant amounts of money for the ANC's Siyanqoba rallies, at the insistence of Mokonyane. He alleges she made the requests through Gavin Watson, Bosasa's CEO.

Agrizzi told the commission that they were requested to cater for thousands of ANC supporters.

Zuma's birthday cake

In addition to the sponsorship for the ANC rallies, Agrizzi claims Bosasa also sponsored former president Jacob Zuma's birthday cake. Agrizzi claims that request was made by Mokonyane.

Agrizzi told the commission that he designed the cake, which had Bosasa's logo on it.

Personal gifts for Mokonyane

The relationship between Agrizzi, Bosasa and Mokonyane was allegedly a close and personal relationship that involved buying Mokonyane lavish gifts from as early as 2002.

Mokonyane had allegedly been receiving Christmas groceries as gifts from Bosasa "for years".

The "shopping list" was similar every year and would be along the lines of 120 cases of cold drink, 40 cases of beer, four cases of quality whisky, 12 cases of frozen chicken, 200kg of beef braai packs, eight lambs, cases of premium brandy and other speciality alcohol, Agrizzi said.

Audi A3 for Mokonyane's daughter

The Agrizzi-Mokonyane relationship extended to the minister's daughter.

Agrizzi told the commission of calls he allegedly received from the minister's daughter, specifically requesting an Audi A3 cabriolet.

After numerous crashes, Agrizzi offered to take her for driving lessons.

Roodepoort home renovation

When Mokonyane requested that her Roodepoort home be revamped, Agrizzi obliged.

Burial of relatives

Agrizzi claimed that in 2002 and 2003, there were persistent requests for funding to bury Mokonyane's relatives.

R50,000 monthly bribes

The minister is also alleged to have received R50,000 in bribes, to "handle" Agrizzi's and Zuma's prosecutions, which she never did.

<https://www.pa.org.za/questions/questions-asked-to-the-minister-of-water-and-sanit/nw2517e-14-october-2016#s54808321>

Simosenkosi Chamane	01 March 2011	1st Term	5 Years	28 February 2015 - Minister extended the term until a new board is in place.
------------------------	------------------	----------	---------	--

Dudu Myeni (Chairperson)	01 February 2002	3rd Term	14 Years	28 February 2015 - Minister extended the term until a new board is in place.
-----------------------------	---------------------	----------	----------	--