

IN THE REGIONAL DIVISION OF GAUTENG HELD AT RANDBURG

CASE NUMBER: _____

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

THE STATE

AND

JOSHUA JACOBUS VLOK

CHARGE SHEET

COUNT 1: ATTEMPTED EXTORTION

THAT the accused is guilty of the crime of Attempted Extortion

IN THAT on or about the 7th day of March 2017 and at or near Impala Road Chilselhurton, Johannesburg in the Regional Division of Gauteng, the accused did unlawfully and intentionally induce, threaten, subject to pressure or inspire fear in the mind of Lynn Fleiss that she would be arrested on charges of possession of unlicensed firearms and racketeering and not be granted bail and did then by means of the said threat, attempted to induce or pressure, unlawfully and intentionally obtain an advantage not due to him, to wit get Lynn Fliess to allow Mr Douglas Fliess access to their minor daughter who was subject of a custody battle and to become a witness against Paul O'Sullivan.

COUNT 2 DEFEATING OR OBSTRUCTING THE COURSE OF JUSTICE.

THAT the accused is guilty of the crime Defeating or Obstructing the Course of Justice.

IN THAT upon or about the 7th day of March 2017 and at or near Impala Road, Chilselhurton, Johannesburg in the Regional Division of Gauteng, the accused did wrongfully and intentionally perform the following act: informed the attorneys of Ms Fleiss that her arrest was imminent on charges of possession of unlicensed firearm and racketeering unless she allowed Douglas Fleiss access to their minor child who was subject of custody hearing where Whereas the accused, when he acted as aforesaid, well knew and intended that his actions would have the following results or consequences: to interfere in a civil case involving such minor child

AND THUS the accused so doing obstructed the administration of justice.

COUNT 3 DEFEATING OR OBSTRUCTING THE COURSE OF JUSTICE.

THAT the accused of the crime Defeating or Obstructing the Course of Justice.

IN THAT upon or about the 7th day of March 2017 and at or near Impala Road, Chilselhurton, Johannesburg in the Regional Division of Gauteng, the accused did wrongfully and Intentionally performed the following acts: threaten to arrest Lynn Fliess on charges of possession of unlicensed firearm and racketeering and keep her in custody unless she assisted with the investigation against Paul O'Sullivan

AND WHEREAS the accused, when he acted aforesaid, well knew and intended that his actions would have the following results or consequence: that Lynn Fliess would feel

threatened and would assist and provide statements against Paul O’Sullivan and thus so doing the accused defeated or obstructed the administration of Justice.

COUNT 4 DEFEATING OR OBSTRUCTING THE COURSE OF JUSTICE.

THAT the accused of the crime Defeating or Obstructing the Course of Justice.

IN THAT upon or about the **29th day of November 2017** and at or near **Brooklyn** in the Regional Division of Gauteng, the accused did wrongfully and intentionally perform the following acts: depose to an affidavit that the docket under Alberton Cas 799/11/2012 was stolen and that he had to open a duplicate docket for purposes of investigation

AND WHEREAS the accused, when he acted aforesaid, well knew that he signed for the original docket and that by opening a duplicate docket, he intended that his actions would have the following results or consequence: that a different decision be made than what was initially decided by the prosecution and thus so doing the accused defeated or obstructed the administration of Justice.

COUNT 5 DEFEATING OR OBSTRUCTING THE COURES OF JUSTICE.

THAT the accused of the crime Defeating or Obstructing the Course of Justice.

IN THAT upon or about the **12th day of July 2016** and at or near **Meyerton,** in the Regional Division of Gauteng, the accused did wrongfully and intentionally perform the following acts: obtained a statement from a state witness in **Lenasia Cas 5/4/2013** in order to discredit the witnesses evidence who was currently testifying in court.

AND WHEREAS the accused, when he acted aforesaid, well knew that he was not the investigating officer in the matter and intended that his actions would have the following results or consequence: that by obtaining a contradictory statement for the defence in his capacity as a police officer, this would have assisted the defence to discredit the material witness in the matter and thus so doing the accused defeated or obstructed the administration of Justice.