

INVESTIGATING DIRECTORATE AGAINST CORRUPTION

Tel: +27 12 845 6000 | CSIR Building 10 F, 78 Meiring
Naude Road, Brummeria, Pretoria, 0084 | P/Bag X752,
Pretoria, 0001, South Africa



Ulrich Roux and Associates
15 Chaplin Road
Illovo
Sandton
2196

Per email: ulrich@rouxlegal.com / cameron@rouxlegal.com

1. The ID refers to a subpoena duces tecum dated 11 November 2025 received by the ID from Chief Perumal on Monday the 24th November 2025.
2. The ID was on leave from 12 November 2025 and returned to work on the 19th November 2025.
3. In the time that the ID was on leave she had been contacted by your client on another matter, she indicated that she was away and indicated when she would return – this serves to indicate that your client was aware that she was away and even during those engagements he made no mention of the subpoena in question.
4. The ID became aware of the subpoena on the 21st November 2025 when Chief Perumal met your client about a matter he sought to refer in terms of Section 27 of the NPA Act to IDAC. Chief Perumal informed the ID telephonically (at about 10h55) of the subpoena that your client handed to him.
5. However, at about 11h30 the ID was taken aback when a copy of the subpoena in question was sent to her via WhatsApp by an ex-member of SAP's who finds herself frequently tweeting about various issues.
6. The ID immediately informed the Chief as this was disturbing – how did this person get a copy of the subpoena and why was she questioning whether or not the ID had been served. These are legal matters dealt with between the legal representatives and the ID and how she came to be in possession of this document is cause for concern.

INVESTIGATING DIRECTORATE AGAINST CORRUPTION

Tel: +27 12 845 6000 | CSIR Building 10 F, 78 Meiring
Naude Road, Brummeria, Pretoria, 0084 | P/Bag X752,
Pretoria, 0001, South Africa



National Prosecuting Authority
South Africa

7. All of the above notwithstanding the ID accepts service of the subpoena both by hand from Chief Perumal and via email received yesterday from the firm of Mr Roux.
8. The request as per the subpoena refer and the ID responds as follows:
 - 8.1 IDAC will not confirm or deny its engagements with potential suspects or persons of interest in this matter, their responses if any, will not provide any documents relating to this matter be it a statement or photograph or any other form.
 - 8.2 Your client is engaged in civil action with Lt-General Mkhwanazi and IDAC will not be drawn into same.
 - 8.3 These are criminal matters that remain active and IDAC cannot share any of the information and/or documentation requested in paragraphs 1-4 of the subpoena.
9. IDAC remains committed to co-operation where feasible, but this is unfortunately not one of those instances given the nature and form of information requested.

Yours sincerely

Adv A Johnson

Investigating Director: IDAC

Date: 26/11/2025.